

**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING  
FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

<b>PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>		3. Date of Land Evaluation Request <b>4/4/24</b>	4. Sheet 1 of <b>1</b>	
1. Name of Project	<b>RAYMONDVILLE DRAIN PROJECT</b>			
2. Type of Project	<b>DRAINAGE PROJECT</b>			
<b>PART II (To be completed by NRCS)</b>		1. Date Request Received by NRCS	2. Person Completing Form	
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form).		YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>	4. Acres Irrigated   Average Farm Size	
5. Major Crop(s)	6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction Acres: %		7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: %	
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used	9. Name of Local Site Assessment System		10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS	
<b>PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>		<b>Alternative Corridor For Segment</b>		
		Corridor A	Corridor B	Corridor C
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly				
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>		
C. Total Acres In Corridor	<b>4,770.2</b>	<b>4,584.6</b>		
<b>PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information</b>				
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland				
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland				
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted				
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value				
<b>PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)</b>				
<b>PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))</b>		Maximum Points		
1. Area in Nonurban Use	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use	<b>10</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government	<b>20</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	
8. On-Farm Investments	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS	<b>160</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>0</b>				
<b>PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)</b>				
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	<b>100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	<b>160</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>0</b>
1. Corridor Selected:	2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:	3. Date Of Selection:	4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used?	
<b>A (Alternative 1)</b>	<b>4,770.2</b>		YES <input type="checkbox"/>	NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

5. Reason For Selection:

**For each corridor/Final Alternative, the benefit-cost ratio (BCR) was calculated and the likely consequences to the human and natural environment were assessed. Corridor A (Alternative 1) was selected as the Recommended Plan as it received a higher BCR and would result in fewer and less severe impacts to the human and natural environment.**

Signature of Person Completing this Part:

DATE

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor